# **DMD** Formula Sheet

Gari Jenkins

Point

Mean

Variables : Discrete (restricted, separated values ie no. of breakdowns) ; Variable (any value ie height, weight)

Why analyse data : 'raw data'  $\Rightarrow$  information  $\Rightarrow$  support decision making

Frequency Tables : sub-range ~ 'class'; width ~ class interval ; most likely class ~ modal class but loss of information

Histograms : represent frequency table ; Area not hight should be compared.

Measures of Central Tendancy (or average) ~ a value which is typical of a set of data



## Modelling Decisions ~ Decision Trees

Expected Value is a ' ....

Expected Value is a 'long run average result'; calculated by multiplying each outcome by its probability of occurrence & summing		
Decision Node:	Either / Or	Chance Node: multiply & sum
Limitations to this Expected Monetary Value (EMV)		
<ul> <li>Expected value represent were repeated several tim</li> <li>EMV criterion assumes the objective ~ might be several</li> </ul>	is the average payoff if the decision les. It is reasonable for one-off? le decision involves only one ral which conflict ie social	<ul> <li>Assumes the decision maker is risk neutral ~ might not be !</li> <li>Probabilities and payoffs are only guestimates.</li> </ul>
Hypothesis Testing		
Overview ~ 1. formulate hypothesis 2. take probability sample from population 3. decide whether hypothesis is rejected		
Null Hypothesis (Ho) ~ Hypothesis to be tested. Must be able to calculate probability of obtaining observed data if Ho true		
Alternative Hypothesis (H <sub>1</sub> ) ~ Hypothesis against which Ho tested. Either two- tailed (<>) or one-tailed (<) or (>)		
<i>p-value</i> ~ probability of obtaining observed results if Ho (Null Hypothesis) true = p(obtaining observed results Ho is true)		
Level of Significance ~ What p-value be to reject Ho ? 0.05 ie if p-value < 0.05 – Ho is Rejected		
Sampling Theory ~ when you take a sample, how likely is it that it is a good estimate of the population ?		
Conditions: → Population must be normally distributed and SD of population must be known, or		
→ Sample must be >30		
A large sample is more like Standard Deviation of the s	ly to give an accurate estimatio ample is called the Standard E	on of the population mean than a small one. The 'tightness' or rror of the Mean ~ <b>STEM</b>
Factors that affect size of	f STEM:	Population Standard Deviation
■ Size of the sample ~ ↑	sample size : ↓ STEM	$STEM = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Sample size}}$
<ul> <li>Variability of the popula</li> </ul>	ation $\sim \downarrow$ population SD : $\downarrow$ SI	
Dising Z values as before, we can test whether sample is representative of the population is in p-value < 0.05 ~ REJECT		
Relating this to. 2. Quality Control		
<ul> <li>95% of area under curve falls within 1.96 SDs ~ used for upper/lower Warning Limits = +/- 1.96 x STEM</li> <li>99.8% of area under curve falls within 3.09 SDs ~ used for upper/lower Action Limits = +/- 3.09 x STEM</li> </ul>		
1. Select sample & plot 2. If within Warning Limits ~ ok 3. If > Warning Limits, take another sample. If still above, take action otherwise ~ ok. 4. If > Action Limits, take action		
Confidence Intervals & Sample Size ~ use sample results to provide estimates about the population		
Assume : → sample is → size ≥ 30 → selecting	s simple random sample	But to work out STEM, we must know what the population SD !! Since sample size $\geq$ 30, we can assume sample SD $\approx$ population SD
Sample Standard Deviation		
$\Rightarrow \text{STEM} \cong \text{Sumpto Statute 2.5 When one of the statute of th$		
95% confidence Interval is:	Sample Mean +/- 1.96 STEM	99.8% confidence Interval is: Sample Mean +/- 1.96 STEM
Given the maximum error, we can work out Sample Size = [1.96 population standard deviation / Max error ] <sup>2</sup>		
Analysing associations between variables : Correlation & Regression		
1. Correlation ~ measure of the <i>strength</i> of association between 2 variables.		
Plot onto a scatter diagram : Product- Moment Correlation Coefficient (r) is measure of strength of linear correlation		
-1 (perfectly negative correlation) 0 (perfectly positive correlation) +1		
r unchanged if: Interpreting Correlation		
<ul> <li>constant added</li> <li>apparent strong association between 2 variables may be CHANCE / bad luck etc. Need to test</li> <li>whether the observed correlation is statistically significant:</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>variables</li> <li>→ constant is multiplied</li> </ul>	Ho Pop Correlation is a	zero (no correlation) ; H <sub>1</sub> Pop Correlation is not zero
by observation of 1 or more variable dott assume high correlation proves causal link : coincidence / causal link with 'hidden' a <sup>rd</sup>		teu), II < 0.05, We can reject Ho – there does appear to be correlation
r is UNIT FREE	<ul> <li>product – moment correlation</li> <li>normally distributed</li> </ul>	n only measures <i>linear</i> association & assumes both variables are

2. Two Variable Regression ~ used to describe the nature of the association between 2 variables.

Can be used to understand the relationship between variables & make forecasts. Essentially involves fitting a line through the scatter points on the scatter diagram.

Interpret a ~ if none of x, then a is the residual amount

Interpret b ~ each unit of x increases y by b

Can predict by substituting into the regression line. *Interpolation* (within the observed range) ; *Extrapolation* (outside range) - less reliable since we have no evidence that the linear relationship we have identified will apply.



Measuring how well the line fits the data ~ 'goodness of fit' can be measured by the coefficient of determination =  $r^2$ Note – the square of the correlation coefficient & has values **0** ------- **1** (perfect fit ~ 100%)

#### Interpret r<sup>2</sup>

- → measures how well the regression line fits the scatter points ; closer to 100% the better
- → shows the % of variation in the dependent variable that can be explained by variation in the other variable. Other factors can account for the difference between r<sup>2</sup> and 100%

Note: a high value doesn't guarantee that we have the best regression model. We need significance test:

#### p-values:

Ho Population Slope :  $\beta = 0$  . . . if p < 0.05, we can reject and say they are statistically significant ie there is a relationship Ho Population Intercept ;  $\alpha = 0$  . . same again, but if p > 0.05, we cannot reject the hypothesis that population intercept is zero, BUT it is a good idea to leave the intercept in the equation since its removal can distort measures such as  $r^2$ 

#### Assumtions underpinning significance test: • for given value of x, errors associated are • we assume that past relationship will apply in the future

- for given value of x, errors associated are normally distributed
- for each value of x, the SD of errors is the same
  errors associated with any 2 observations
- assumed relationship is linear
  extrapolation based on above can be risky
  - only 1 variable has been used to make predictions; increase additional independent variables (multiple regression)
- certain observations may unduly influence estimate of best fit

## 3. Multiple Regression Analysis

are independent

We can obtain more accurate forecasts if we include more independent variables in regression model.

Goodness of fit can be measured by **coefficient of multiple determination**  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (*R* is coefficient of multiple correlation) **BUT** :  $\mathbb{R}^2$  always *increases* (or fails to decrease) as the no. of independent variables increases (even if the new variables have no relationship with the dependent variable. If we just concentrate on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  we might be encouraged to add useless variables. To counteract this, the <u>adjusted</u>  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is often used is penalises it for the no. of variables in the model.

### **Significance Tests**

## Ho = $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = ... \beta_n$ number of variables = 0; F-statistic used to test hypothesis (that none of the variables are related)

Most packages give the p-value automatically. We can do this also for each variable & use T-statistic & p-value to test

In multiple regression ~ problem of MULTICOLLINEARITY (where some or all of the variables are highly correlated)

- → leads to estimated coefficients having the wrong sign
- → leads to p-values for T-test that are misleading
- **RULE OF THUMB** : Problem if correlation between 2 independent variables > 0.7

**Dealing with Multicolinearity**: Combine the correlated variables into a single 'super variable **or** Use only one variable from the highly correlated variables (but model may lose predictive power) **or** Ignore p-value for T-tests & use judgement

## Including Qualitative Variables – Dummy Variables

Nominal variables eg location / gender / age etc ~ use dummy variables to represent (**either 0 or 1**). But if we can predict the other variables given from one, you again get a problem with multicollinearity. Therefore, we use *n-1 variables*, where n is the number of possible variables (the absent one then becomes the benchmark)

## Forecasting Methods

 $\frac{\text{Mean Error}}{= \sum (\text{forecast errors}) / n}$ measure of **BIAS** not accuracy

Mean Squared Error Square errors, add 'em up, ÷n Used for *comparison* but it penalises large errors heavily

## Forecast Error = Actual Value – Forecast

Mean Absolute Percentage Error MAPE Add up absolute %errors, ÷n Widely used but if actual value are small, MAPE can be large ∴USE **MdAPE** (median of APE)

Naïve 1 Forecasts ~ simply use last observation for forecast

**Simple Exponential Smoothing (SES)** ~ used for flat trends: **smoothing constant**  $\alpha$  (0 to 1)

Stability (not overreact to freak figures) ~ low value  $\alpha$ ; Sensitivity (respond to trends) ~ high value  $\alpha$ 

 $\alpha$  determined by using values 0.1; 0.2 etc & calculating MSE. Plot  $\alpha$  vs. MSE to get  $\alpha$  with lowest MSE error